

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,131	•	10/11/2005	Maria-Jesus Blanco-Pillado	X-14441 7160	
25885	7590	10/30/2008		EXAMINER	
ELI LILLY		PANY		•	
PATENT D P.O. BOX 6				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
INDIANAP	OLIS, IN	46206-6288			
			·	DATE MAILED: 10/30/2008	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/552,131	BLANCO-PILLADO ET AL.			
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chang, Celia	1625			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>07 October 2008</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.						
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.						
1. 🛚	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendix	thereto (37 CFR			
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the eviden other evidence entered by the examiner and re statement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	elied upon by appellant in the a	ppeal, along with a			
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).					
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):				
	Heading 5 is a incorrect heading refer to the MPEP (appendix is missing frombrief if there are no appending not needed for this correction only the section found	icies a statement of NONE shold follo	eading X Related proceedings by the heading. Entire brief is			
		PATENT AP	ASHAVVIN HINTON PEAL CENTER SPECIALIST			